Ideal Velocity: A New Concept for Open Channel Flows
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Abstract—It is common knowledge that both fresh water and precipitation have no homogeneous mixture temporally or spatially on the earth planet. Transportation of water from one region to another has always been a part of human existence. The transfer is achieved by water conveyance structures (i.e., channels, pipes, tunnels, galleries, and drains). However, the flow in such structures occurs hydraulically and can be divided into two main classes: (1) pipe flow and (2) open channel flow. There are many published studies in the current literature on modeling pipe or open canal flows. In this study, following a relevant discussion on existing literature, a new concept of “ideal velocity” is introduced. Furthermore, ideal discharge and Reynolds and Froude numbers, which are currently used in computational hydraulics, are re-modified following the new concept. The simplification of such equations by the new concept will conveniently solve many hydraulic problems, particularly in pipe and open canal manufacturing sectors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that precipitation and fresh water resources are not homogeneously distributed, either spatially or temporally. For example, one-fourth of annual precipitation occurs in regions where one-third of the total world population lives. Two-thirds of the world’s population use only one-fourth of total fresh water resources [1]. Therefore, transfer of water from one region to another (water transport) has always been a key part of human existence. In the last few decades, world fresh water consumption has increased in unprecedented ways as a result of world population growth, industrialization, technological developments, and diversity in water use based on the rise of living standards. The world population has dramatically increased, and it continues to do so. According to the 2006 Revision (UN), the world population is likely to increase by 2.5 billion over the next 43 years, growing from the current 6.7 billion to 9.2 billion in 2050 [2]. More people are bound to need more water for a variety of uses. The more water used, the more water must be distributed, which also increases distribution networks. It is expected that distribution of precipitation and fresh water resources will completely change both spatially and temporally as a result of global climate change [2-3]. This situation means that fresh water requirements will increase gradually every year. It is clear that for supplying domestic, industrial, and irrigation water, transfer of water from one region to another (water transport) will be a vital requirement in every instance.

The use of fossil energy sources produces greenhouse gases and, consequently, IPCC reports claim that emission of such gases is a consequence of human activities at high percentages, 98% or 99% [4-5] (IPCC, 2007; IPCC, 2013-AR5). Among all the publications we reviewed, about 90% of scientific articles present evidence on the existence of global warming or global climate change [6-7]. Alashan et al., (2015) indicates that producing energy is very important, but that it is even more important to produce clean, sustainable, and inexhaustible energy sources with marginal pollution effects that are almost negligible in practice (i.e., hydropower, solar, wind, wave, geothermal, and hydrogen energy, among others) [8]. According to Toprak (2014) and Toprak et al. (2014), hydropower is a key strategy to protect fresh water resources (i.e. rivers) against chemical, biological, nuclear, and physical pollutants [9-10]. However, water transport is also needed for hydropower. Global and local water trade and inter-basin water transfer are another two important factors that affect water transport.

Given the above considerations, it is possible to say that due to inhomogeneous distribution of precipitation and water resources, population growth, industrialization, technological developments, diversity in water use, global climate change, supplying the domestic, industrial, irrigation, and hydropower water, water trade, and inter-basin water transfer, currently water transport is globally becoming a key issue. We expect it will become a vital, long-term requirement in the future.

Water transfer is technically done by hydraulic structures, such as canals, pipes, tunnels, galleries, drains, and balloons. However, the type of flow that occurs in those structures is categorized hydraulically as open canal and pipe flows. Due to this issue’s vital importance, there are many published studies on pipe and open canal flow modeling. We discuss some of the key studies in the section below.

II. A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON THE CURRENT LITERATURE

Toprak (2009) states that to minimize environmental impacts and maximize the benefits of water resources, optimization of effective sections for any water flow is particularly important; not only for irrigation and drainage systems, but also for flood flow in natural channels. The author indicates that up to the end of the 20th century, modelers used well-known empirical
conventional equations such as Chezy, Gauckler-Strickler, and Manning approaches for this purpose [11]. However, the recent availability of computers’ large capacity and transaction speed allows for the use of artificial intelligence methods (i.e. fuzzy logic-FL, genetic algorithms-GAs, and artificial neural networks-ANNs).


These valuable studies offer modifications for existing equations and/or propose several modeling techniques for optimization of artificial and natural channels’ cross-sections. The studies’ value lies in the fact that the researchers tried to investigate the possibility of obtaining maximum flow with minimum energy or minimum construction cost in a canal. However, most of the studies’ analyses and results are not simple; rather, they solve this problem with several complex differential equations that require commercial software. There is a general lack of discussion of novel approaches or new perspectives on flow in an open canal. In Toprak (2016), the new concept of “ideal velocity” is introduced [12]. Furthermore, the well-known equations used in computational hydraulic are re-modified according to this new concept. The “ideal velocity” depends on the assumption of circular distribution of the velocity through the cross-section of a pipe or an open canal flow. In engineering studies, it is too difficult to model any natural events with deterministic and analytical models due to the complexity of mathematical expressions. It is considered that the simplification of such equations by using the new concept will conveniently solve hydraulic problems, particularly in pipe and open canal manufacturing sectors [12]. In this study, “ideal velocity” (as introduced by Toprak (2016)) is suggested for rectangular open canal flows. Moreover, by substituting continuity and Froude Number equations with ideal velocity, the ideal discharge and ideal Froude Numbers are derived for a rectangular open canal flow.

III. IDEAL VELOCITY CONCEPT FOR OPEN CANAL FLOWS

A. Derivation of Ideal Velocity

In his 2016 study, Toprak defines “ideal velocity” in depth [12]. Therefore, there is no need to extensively discuss the concept’s definition here. However, we can define the concept briefly as circular cross-sectional velocity. In other words, the parabolic cross-sectional velocity is assumed to be circular [12].

By assumption of ideal velocity distribution, the ideal mean cross-sectional flow velocity ($V_r$, m/s) for a rectangular open canal flow, which has a depth of $y$ (as given in Fig. 1), can be derived by the following steps. In this case, the maximum cross-sectional velocity ($u_{max}$) will be equal to the semi-diameter of the circular velocity distribution, which is equal to flow depth ($y$, m). According to the ideal velocity definition, the velocity can be expressed by flow depth ($y$, m) for the rectangular open canal flows, as given by Equations (1), (2), and (3).

The mean cross-sectional velocity ($V$, m/s) can be formulated as given in Eq. (1).

$$ V = \frac{\pi y^2}{4} $$

Due to the assumptions in the ideal velocity definition, from Figure 1, it is possible to say that the depth is equal to the semi-diameter of the velocity distribution as given in Eq. (2)

$$ y = \frac{D}{2} \Rightarrow D = 2y $$

Accordingly, the ideal mean cross-sectional velocity can be obtained as presented in the following expression:
This equation (Eq. 3) is dimensionally not homogeneous, as is well-known in the Manning-Strickler equation.

Mean cross-sectional velocity \( V, \text{m/s} \)

\[
V_i = \left( \frac{\pi \cdot 4y^2}{4} \right) = \frac{\pi \cdot y}{4} = 0.785 \, y
\]

B. Derivation of Ideal Discharge

By substituting continuity equation with ideal velocity, the ideal discharge for a rectangular open canal flow can be obtained in a form as presented in Eq. (8). The derivation steps are given in Eqs. (4-7).

\[
Q = \int_A udA = V \cdot A
\]

\[
V = \frac{\int_A udA}{A} = \frac{Q}{A}
\]

\[
Q = VA
\]

\[
Q_i = V_i A
\]

\[
Q_i = \frac{\pi \cdot y}{4} 4By = 0.7854By^2
\]

Herein, \( Q, Q_i, A, B \) are the discharge, ideal discharge, cross-sectional area, and open canal width respectively. Eq. (8) is dimensionally not homogenous.

C. Derivation of Ideal Froude Number

By substituting the ideal velocity into the equation of Froude Number (Eq. 9), the ideal Froude Number can be obtained for a rectangular open canal flow in a form as presented in Eq. (10).

\[
Fr = \frac{V}{\sqrt{gy}}
\]

and therefore,

\[
Fr_i = \frac{\frac{\pi y}{4}}{g^{1/2} \cdot y^{1/2}} = \frac{\pi y}{4 \cdot g^{1/2} \cdot y^{1/2}} = \frac{\pi y^{1/2}}{4g^{1/2}} = 0.251 y^{1/2}
\]

Herein \( F_r, F_{ri}, \) and \( g \) are Froude Number, ideal Froude Number, and acceleration of gravity. Since the ideal Froude Number is dimensionless, the coefficient of 0.251 has a dimension of m-1/2 in both SI and MKS unit systems.
IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, Toprak’s concept of “ideal velocity” (2016) is applied to rectangular open canal flows. By assuming the cross-sectional flow velocity as circular, ideal velocity can be expressed by depth \( y \) for a rectangular open canal flow, as \( 0.7854 y \). Ideal discharge for a rectangular open canal flow can be expressed as \( 0.7854 By^2 \). The expression of the ideal discharge varies for the open canal flows according to the geometry of the canal (i.e. trapezoidal, triangular, rectangular, square, and so on). Ideal Froude Numbers can be expressed in terms of the depth for rectangular open canal flows as \( 0.251 y^{1/2} \). The equations of ideal velocity and ideal discharge are not dimensionally homogeneous. However, the ideal Froude Number is dimensionless – therefore, the coefficient of 0.251 should have a dimension of \( m^{1/2} \) in both SI and MKS unit systems. We conclude that the simplification of such equations by using the new concept is capable of conveniently solving hydraulic problems, particularly in open canal manufacturing sectors.

ABBREVIATIONS

UN United Nations
IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
FL Fuzzy Logic
GAs Genetic Algorithms
ANNs Artificial Neural Networks
s Second
m Meter
SI International system of units
MKS System of units (stands for meters, kilograms, seconds)

SYMBOLS

\( R_e \) Reynolds Number (dimensionless)
\( R_{ei} \) Ideal Reynolds Number (dimensionless)
\( D \) Diameter of pipe (m)
\( V \) Cross-sectional mean velocity for pipe and open canal flows (m/s)
\( V_i \) ideal cross-sectional mean velocity (m/s)
\( u \) Cross-sectional velocity (m/s)
\( y \) water depth in open channel (m)
\( Q \) Discharge for both pipe and open canal flows (m\(^3\)/s)
\( Q_i \) Ideal discharge for both pipe and open canal flows (m\(^3\)/s)
\( A \) Cross-sectional area (m\(^2\))
\( \nu \) Cinematic viscosity (m\(^2\)/s)
\( F_r \) Froude Number (dimensionless)
\( F_{ri} \) Ideal Froude Number (dimensionless)
\( g \) Acceleration of gravity (m/s\(^2\))
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